

PHILIPPINE DEVELOPMENT PLAN RESULTS MATRICES 2017-2022

CHAPTER 6: PURSUING SWIFT AND FAIR ADMINISTRATION OF JUSTICE

Objectives/Results	Indicator	Baseline ^a		Annual Plan Targets						Plan Target ^b	Means of Verification	Responsible Agency ^c	Reporting Entity ^d	Assumptions and Risks	
		Year	Value	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022						
Societal Goal															
To lay down the foundation for inclusive growth, a high-trust and resilient society and a globally competitive knowledge economy															
Intermediate Goal															
Enhancing the social fabric by building trust in public institutions															
Chapter Outcome 1															
Swift and fair administration of justice ensured															
Sub-chapter Outcome 1.1															
Civil, criminal, commercial and administrative justice systems enhanced	Percentile rank in the World Governance Indicator – Rule of Law improved ^e	2015	42.31	44	44	46	46	50	50	50	World Bank Report	SC; DILG and attached agencies; DOJ and attached agencies; Cabinet Cluster on Security, Justice and Peace	NEDA		
	Percentile rank in the World Justice Project (WJP) Rule of Law Index: Fundamental Rights improved ^f	2016	26.55	27	27	28	28	29	29	29	WJP Report		NEDA		
	Percentage of preliminary investigation backlogs decreased (cumulative)	2016	50	50	45	40	35	30	25	25	Official DOJ reports	DOJ	DOJ	Expeditious Presidential appointment of prosecutors. Approval of necessary plantilla positions for support staff	
	Percentage of cases disposed over the total inventory of cases increased (cumulative)	2016	87.78	88	88	89	89	90	90	90	Operational reports, case reports	DOJ	DOJ	Expeditious Presidential appointment of prosecutors	
Aggregate Outputs															
	Number of justice zones established increased ^g	2016	1	2	3	3	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	Project Report	SC, DOJ, DILG	SC	Approval/availability of funding for JSCC activities	
	Number of courts with rolled-out continuous trial increased	2016	52	955	1,189	0	0	0	0	2,144	Project Report	SC	SC		
	Number of courts with rolled-out e-courts increased	2016	208	295	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	Project Report	SC	SC	Availability of internet connection on selected areas	
	Philippine Mediation Center (PMC) units established	2016	135	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	24	PMCO reports	SC	SC	

^a Actual data as of December 2015, or most recent available data. May not necessarily be year-end values

^b May either be the cumulative or incremental target value at the end of the Plan period

^c Agency accountable for delivering the outputs/achievement of outcomes

^d Lead agency responsible for reporting progress on indicator targets

^e The World Governance Indicator – Rule of Law indicator captures perceptions of the extent to which agents have confidence in and abide by the rules of society, and in particular the quality of contract enforcement, property rights, the police, and the courts, as well as the likelihood of crime and violence.

^f The WJP Rule of Law Index: Fundamental Rights indicator measures the protection of fundamental human rights, including effective enforcement of laws that ensure equal protection, the right to life and security of the person, due process of law and the rights of the accused, freedom of opinion and expression, freedom of belief and religion, the right to privacy, freedom of assembly and association, and fundamental labor rights, including the right to collective bargaining, the prohibition of forced and child labor, and the elimination of discrimination.

^g A Justice Zone is an area or locality where a minimum number of inter-agency coordinative reforms are present, rendering that area or locality compliant based on the selection and rating criteria to be established by the JSCC.

Objectives/Results	Indicator	Baseline ^a		Annual Plan Targets						Plan Target ^b	Means of Verification	Responsible Agency ^c	Reporting Entity ^d	Assumptions and Risks
		Year	Value	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022					
Sub-chapter Outcome 1.2														
Sector efficiency and accountability improved	Percentile rank in the WJP Rule of Law Index: Civil Justice indicator improved ^h	2016	23.01	24	24	25	25	27	27	27	WJP Report	SC; DILG and attached agencies; DOJ and attached agencies; Cabinet Cluster on Security, Justice and Peace	NEDA	
	Percentile rank in the WJP Rule of Law Index: Criminal Justice indicator improved ⁱ	2016	25.66	26	26	27	27	29	29	29	WJP Report		NEDA	
Aggregate Outputs														
	Percentage of qualified inmates (based on court orders for release) in city/municipal/district jails released on time maintained	2016	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	Operation's monthly report	DILG-BJMP	DILG-BJMP	
	Percentage of qualified inmates (granted parole/pardon and served sentence) in national prisons released on time maintained	2016	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	Official agency reports	DOJ-BUCOR	DOJ	
	Prosecutor to court ratio improved (cumulative)	2015	1:1	1:1	1:1	1:1	2:1	2:1	2:1	2:1	DOJ plantilla	DOJ	DOJ	Expeditious Presidential appointment of prosecutors.
	Public attorney to court ratio improved (cumulative)	2016	1:3	1:2	1:2	1:1	1:1	1:1	1:1	1:1	PAO plantilla	DOJ-PAO	DOJ	DBM approval of additional positions
	All requests for free legal assistance/representation acted upon within three (3) working days from date of request maintained (%)	2016	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	Official agency reports	DOJ-PAO	DOJ	There is an adequate number of public attorneys vis-à-vis client and case volume.
	Victims Compensation Program beneficiaries increased	2016	1,679	100	200	500	700	900	1,000	3,400	Official DOJ reports	DOJ	DOJ	

^h The WJP Rule of Law Index: Civil Justice indicator measures whether civil justice systems are accessible and affordable, free of discrimination, corruption, and improper influence by public officials. It examines whether court proceedings are conducted without unreasonable delays, and if decisions are enforced effectively. It also measures the accessibility, impartiality, and effectiveness of alternative dispute resolution mechanisms.

ⁱ The WJP Rule of Law Index: Criminal Justice indicator measures whether the criminal investigation, adjudication, and correctional systems are effective, and whether the criminal justice system is impartial, free of corruption, free of improper influence, and protective of due process and the rights of the accused.