

ENHANCED 2017-2022 PHILIPPINE DEVELOPMENT PLAN RESULTS MATRICES MIDTERM UPDATE

CHAPTER 16: PROMOTING COMPETITION

Objectives/ Results	SDG Tier 1 Indicators	Indicator	Baseline ^a		Annual Plan Targets					End of Plan Target ^d	Means of Verification	Responsible Agency ^e	Reporting Entity ^f	Assumptions and Risks	
			Year	Value	2017	2018	2019	2020 ^b	2021 ^c						2022 ^c
Societal Goal															
A healthy and resilient Philippines															
Intermediate Goal															
Transforming towards equity and resiliency and increasing growth potential															
Chapter Outcome 1															
Consumer Welfare Improved		Global Competitiveness Index (GCI) ranking improved	2016	Percentile Rank: 59 Rank: 57 / 138 Score: 4.4 / 7	N/A	N/A	62	63	63	64	64	WEF-GCI	PCC, DOJ , DTI, ARTA, DOF, GCG, GPPB	DTI-CB	Political and Social Risk (Commitment of Concerned agencies)
Chapter Outcome 2															
Market Efficiency Improved		GCI ranking improved	2016	Percentile Rank: 59 Rank: 57 / 138 Score: 4.4 / 7	N/A	N/A	62	63	63	64	64	WEF-GCI	PCC, DOJ , DTI, ARTA, DOF, GCG, GPPB	DTI-CB	Political and Social Risk (Commitment of Concerned agencies)

^a Actual data as of December 2016, or latest available.

^b 2020 targets were set prior to onset of the COVID-19 pandemic and retained in the Midterm Update.

^c 2021 and 2022 targets were adjusted to take into consideration the effects of the COVID-19 pandemic.

^d EOP targets were adjusted to take into consideration effects of COVID-19 pandemic; may either be the cumulative or incremental target value at the end of the Plan period.

^e Concerned NEDA Board Committees/Cabinet Cluster/Inter-Agency Committees responsible for delivering the outcomes and the concerned implementing agencies for delivering the outputs

^f Lead agency responsible for reporting progress on indicator targets

ENHANCED 2017-2022 PHILIPPINE DEVELOPMENT PLAN RESULTS MATRICES MIDTERM UPDATE
CHAPTER 16: PROMOTING COMPETITION

Objectives/ Results	SDG Tier 1 Indicators	Indicator	Baseline ^a		Annual Plan Targets						End of Plan Target ^d	Means of Verification	Responsible Agency ^e	Reporting Entity ^f	Assumptions and Risks	
			Year	Value	2017	2018	2019	2020 ^b	2021 ^c	2022 ^c						
Sub-chapter Outcome 1																
Anti-competitive practices diminished		GCI Business Dynamism improved	2016	Percentile Rank: 62 Rank: 52 / 138 Score: 4.1 / 7	N/A	N/A	50	69	70	71	71	WEF-GCI	DTI, PCC, ARTA	ARTA	Economic and political risks	
		GCI Goods/Product Market Efficiency improved	2016	Percentile Rank: 28 Rank: 99 / 138 Score: 4.1 / 7	N/A	N/A	31	63	62	65	65	WEF-GCI	DTI, PCC	DTI	Economic and political risks	
		GCI Extent of Market Dominance improved	2016	Percentile Rank: 17 Rank: 114 / 138 Score: 3.1 / 7	N/A	N/A	N/A	20	21	22	22	WEF-GCI	DTI, PCC, ARTA	ARTA	Economic and political risks	
Sub-chapter Outcome 2																
Barriers to entry and re-entry reduced		GCI Market size improved	2016	Percentile Rank: 78 Rank: 31 / 138 Score: 4.9 / 7	N/A	N/A	80	80	78	80	80	WEF-GCI	DTI-CB, PCC	DTI-CB	Economic and political risks	
		Burden of government regulation improved ⁹	2016	Percentile Rank: 15 Rank: 117 / 138 Score: 2.7 / 7	N/A	N/A	N/A	29	32	35	35	WEF-GCI	PCC	PCC	Economic and political risks	

⁹ The "Burden on government regulations" indicator was transferred from Sub-chapter Outcome 3 (Reducing limits to entrepreneurship) to Sub-chapter Outcome 2 (Reducing barriers to entry and re-entry).

ENHANCED 2017-2022 PHILIPPINE DEVELOPMENT PLAN RESULTS MATRICES MIDTERM UPDATE

CHAPTER 16: PROMOTING COMPETITION

Objectives/ Results	SDG Tier 1 Indicators	Indicator	Baseline ^a		Annual Plan Targets						End of Plan Target ^d	Means of Verification	Responsible Agency ^e	Reporting Entity ^f	Assumptions and Risks	
			Year	Value	2017	2018	2019	2020 ^b	2021 ^c	2022 ^c						
Sub-chapter Outcome 3																
Limits to entrepreneurship reduced		Starting a Business Score Improved	2016	68.56	N/A	N/A	N/A	83	100	100	100	WB Doing Business Report	ARTA	ARTA	Economic and political risks	
Aggregate Outputs																
		Percentage of merger cases within the reglementary period of review	2016	Baseline: 100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	PCC Decisions	PCC	PCC	Legal risk; complexity of cases	
		Percentage of adjudication proceedings resolved within the reglementary period	2016	Baseline: 100%	N/A	N/A	N/A	100%	100%	100%	100%	PCC Decisions	PCC	PCC	Legal risk; complexity of cases	
		Percentage of competition-related complaints acted upon within prescribed period	2016	Baseline: 100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	95%	100%	99%	PCC Decisions	PCC	PCC	Legal risk; complexity of cases	
		Percentage of households aware of the PCC	2016	Baseline: 1.00%	N/A	1.00%	1.50%	3.50% ^h	6.50%	7.50%	7.50%	Survey Results	PCC	PCC	Social Risk (Timely completion of survey)	
		Percentage of firms aware of the PCC	N/A	N/A	N/A	2.00%	4.00%	17% ⁱ	7%	8%	8%	Survey Results	PCC	PCC	Social Risk (Timely completion of survey)	
		Percentage of NGAs and LGUs aware of the PCC	N/A	N/A	N/A	2.00%	4.00%	12% ^j	25%	30%	30%	Survey Results	PCC	PCC	Social Risk (Timely completion of survey)	
		Number of advocacy and communication activities conducted	N/A	N/A	5	5	5	15	15	15	75	PCC Documents	PCC	PCC	Social Risk (Availability of target participants)	
		Number of capacity building activities for executive agencies conducted	N/A	N/A	2	2	2	2	2	2	12	PCC Documents	PCC	PCC	Social Risk (Availability of target participants)	
		Number of capacity building activities for the legislature conducted	N/A	N/A	2	2	2	2	2	2	12	PCC Decisions	PCC	PCC	Social Risk (Availability of target participants)	
		Number of capacity building activities for the judiciary conducted	N/A	N/A	2	2	2	2	2	2	12	PCC Decisions	PCC	PCC	Social Risk (Availability of target participants)	
		Number of capacity building activities for PCC and DOJ employees	N/A	N/A	2	2	2	2	2	2	12	PCC Decisions	DOJ PCC	PCC	Social Risk (Availability of target participants)	

^h Retention of the pre-COVID 2020 target is not in line with the most recent actual (baseline) figures from the 2019 surveys conducted by the Economics Office of PCC. Hence, PCC suggested the adjustment of target to 5.5%.

ⁱ Retention of the pre-COVID 2020 target is not in line with the most recent actual (baseline) figures from the 2019 surveys conducted by the Economics Office of PCC. Hence, PCC suggested the adjustment of target to 8%.

^j Retention of the pre-COVID 2020 target is not in line with the most recent actual (baseline) figures from the 2019 surveys conducted by the Economics Office of PCC. Hence, PCC suggested the adjustment of target to 21%.

ENHANCED 2017-2022 PHILIPPINE DEVELOPMENT PLAN RESULTS MATRICES MIDTERM UPDATE
CHAPTER 16: PROMOTING COMPETITION

Objectives/ Results	SDG Tier 1 Indicators	Indicator	Baseline ^a		Annual Plan Targets						End of Plan Target ^d	Means of Verification	Responsible Agency ^e	Reporting Entity ^f	Assumptions and Risks
			Year	Value	2017	2018	2019	2020 ^b	2021 ^c	2022 ^c					
		Number of competition-related publications released by major academic and research institutions	2016	3	N/A	N/A	N/A	11	12	13	76	Research Reports from academic and research institutions	PCC	PCC	Social Risk (Availability of target participants and resources)
		Number of policy papers/reports/position papers produced based on pro-active review of policies and regulations	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	2	4	4	12	PCC Documents	PCC	PCC	Economic and Legal Risk
		Number of market studies released	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	5	4	4	23	PCC Documents	PCC	PCC	Economic and Social Risk (Availability of target participants and resources)
		Number of agencies capacitated by ARTA on Regulatory Impact Analysis	2019 ^k	44	N/A	N/A	N/A	49	54	59	206	ARTA Documents	ARTA	ARTA	Economic and Legal Risk

^k Baseline year for "Number of agencies capacitated by ARTA on Regulatory Impact Analysis" under aggregate outputs is 2019, concurrent with the establishment of ARTA

ENHANCED 2017-2022 PHILIPPINE DEVELOPMENT PLAN RESULTS MATRICES MIDTERM UPDATE
CHAPTER 16: PROMOTING COMPETITION

Objectives/ Results	SDG Tier 1 Indicators	Indicator	Baseline ^a		Annual Plan Targets					End of Plan Target ^d	Means of Verification	Responsible Agency ^e	Reporting Entity ^f	Assumptions and Risks	
			Year	Value	2017	2018	2019	2020 ^b	2021 ^c						2022 ^c
		Proportion of corrective measures for identified GOCCs initiated (% , cumulative)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	100	100	100	100	100	GCG Decisions	GCG	GCG	Legal risk
		Proportion of GOCCs with identified competition issues provided with recommendations (% , cumulative)	N/A	N/A	N/A	100	100	100	100	100	100	GCG Decisions	GCG	GCG	Legal risk
		Proportion of GOCC mandates and/or competitive neutrality issues reviewed (% , cumulative)	N/A	N/A	10	30	50	70	90	100	100	GCG Research Reports	GCG	GCG	Consultant/GCG capacity and complexity of issues
		Proportion of preliminary investigation of competition cases resolved ¹	2016	70	75	75	75	70	70	75	75	OFC Decisions	DOJ-OFC	DOJ-OFC	Legal Risk (Complexity of cases)
		Proportion of competition cases successfully prosecuted ¹	2016	50	75	75	75	50	50	60	60	Court Decisions	DOJ-OFC	DOJ-OFC	Legal Risk (Complexity of cases)

¹ These proposed aggregate outputs were derived from the previous indicator which was "Proportion of preliminary investigation and prosecution of competition-related cases completed (% , cumulative)." DOJ-OFC opted to separate the resolved preliminary investigations from the prosecuted competition cases.